Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) YANG, CHANG-MING	
10/518,054		
Examiner	Art Unit	
SHARICK NAQI	3769	

	SHARICK NAQI	3769		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 11 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS				
1. Some The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flief is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-18.				
Claim(s) rejected. 1-5 and 7-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 3. Other:				
	/Michael C. Astorino/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3769		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1 and 12 of "at least two different types of" medical treating devices change the scope of the claims and raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.

The amendments to independent claim 3 create new combinations of elements in the dependent claims, changing the scope of the claims and raising new issues that require further search and/or consideration.

New claim 19 adds the new step of using biochips implanted in the person which was previously only presented in the alternative in independent claim 1, this raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search.